

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/625,325 | 07/23/2003 | Douglas G. Guenther | WT0115 | 7745 | |
| 7590 08/23/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Terence P. O'Brien | | | WONG, STEVEN B | | |
| Wilson Sporting Goods Co. 8700 W. Bryn Mawr Avenue | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Chicago, IL 60631 | | | 3711 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004 | DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | N | 1 |
|--|---|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/625,325 | GUENTHER ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Steven Wong | 3711 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDON | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| ,_ | action is non-final. | accountion as to the morits is | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | |
| · | A parto quajro, 1000 0.21 1., | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. | wn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion No red in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/625,325

Art Unit: 3711

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) Figures 1-3; 2) Figures 4 and 5; 3) Figure 6; 4) Figure 7; 5) Figure 8; 6) Figure 9; 7) Figure 10; 8) Figure 11; 9) Figure 12; 10) Figure 13; 11) Figure 14; 12) Figure 15; 13) Figure 16; 14) Figure 17; 15) Figure 18; 16) Figure 19; 17) Figure 20; 18) Figure 21; 19) Figure 22; 20) Figure 23; 21) Figure 24.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/625,325 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is 703-308-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

SBW August 20, 2004